

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,938	38 06/26/2003		Isabelle Lallemant	Q71242	3415
23373	7590	10/04/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE			KEASEL, ERIC S		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	ron, dc	20037	3754	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Commons	10/603,938	LALLEMANT ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Eric Keasel	3754			
ہ۔ Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, a received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ R€	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2005.					
2a)⊠ Th	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)∐ Si	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
clo	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-6,8,9 and 11-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 14-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-6, 8,9,11-13, and 19-22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application	Papers					
10)⊠ The Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examiner e drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> is/are: a) plicant may not request that any objection to the explacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrective oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of 3) Informati	references ched (170-032) To Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) D(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 8, 9, and 12 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to refer back to and further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. The claims are dependent upon cancelled claim 7. Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rehberger (US Patent Number 2,069,048).

Rehberger discloses a fluid dispenser comprising a fluid reservoir (10) containing a fluid; an actuating wall that, when pressed, puts the fluid in the reservoir under pressure; a dispensing orifice (25) through which the fluid is dispensed; a removable closure member (not shown, see column 1, line 42) that closes the dispensing orifice; a recovery dish (16) formed at the outlet of the dispensing orifice so that fluid dispensed through the orifice collects in the dish; wherein the dispensing orifice is formed at the bottom of the recovery dish; and wherein the recovery dish has a concave shape.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,938 Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rado (US Patent Number 3,011,293) in view of Rehberger.

Rado discloses a two-sheet fluid dispenser with a deformable actuating wall and a dispensing orifice provided in one of the sheets. Rado fails to disclose the concave recovery dish. Rehberger discloses a concave recovery dish used with a similar deformable wall dispenser. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the concave recovery dish of Rehberger with the fluid dispenser of Rado in order to leave a desired quantity of fluid in the dish as taught by Rehberger.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-6, 11, 13, and 19-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,938 Page 5

Art Unit: 3754

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Keasel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4929. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> i Heasel 28SEP2005-Eric Keasel

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754